

# The Supreme Court of the United States

This course provides an overview of the Supreme Court of the United States. This includes the Chief Justice, Major Decisions of the Franchise Tax Board of California v. Hyatt, and other important cases. The cases discussed are Gamble v. United States, Gundy v. United States, Flowers v. Mississippi, and Knick v. Scott. John Roberts and his two conflicting views are also explained.





#### Copyright © 2019

Printed in the United States of America. All rights reserved. No part of this monograph may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, except for citation within legal documents filed with a tribunal, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Disclaimer: The views expressed herein are not a legal opinion. Every fact situation is different and the reader is encouraged to seek legal advice for their particular situation.

The Apex Jurist, <a href="www.ApexJurst.com">www.ApexJurst.com</a> is Published by ApexCLE, Inc. <a href="www.ApexCLE.com">www.ApexCLE.com</a>

119 South Emerson St., # 248 Mount Prospect, Illinois 60056

#### Ordering Information:

Copies of this monograph may be ordered direct from the publisher for \$64.95 plus \$4.25 shipping and handling. Please enclose your check or money order and shipping information. For educational, government or multiple copy pricing, please contact the publisher.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

ApexCLE, Inc.

- 1. ApexCLE, Inc. 2. Law-United States Guide-books.
- 3. Legal Guide 4. Legal Education.



# About the Presenter

### Author/Speaker: Daniel A. Cotter, Attorney

Daniel A. Cotter is Attorney and Counselor at Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC. Dan focuses his practices in a variety of areas of corporate law and litigation, including insurance law, complex business disputes and counseling, employment law, corporate transactions, corporate governance and compliance, and cybersecurity and privacy law. His clients benefit from his diverse professional experience, which – in addition to his years serving as trusted outside counsel – includes positions as a corporate accountant and an in-house attorney. He spent 14.5 years in-house at insurance organizations, where he focused on insurance, regulatory, technology, IT, compliance and transactional matters.

Dan served as President of The Chicago Bar Association for the 2014-2015 bar year and is former Chair of The Young Lawyers Section of the CBA. Dan was an adjunct professor at The John Marshall Law School, and has taught Insurance Law, Accounting for Lawyers and SCOTUS Judicial Biography.

Dan graduated summa cum laude from The John Marshall Law School and received his B.A. in Accounting from Monmouth College, magna cum laude. Dan is a frequent writer and presenter on various substantive topics, including technology and privacy, and in 2019, his book, "The Chief Justices," was published."

#### **Experience**

 Advised Illinois Department of Insurance regarding first two insurance company demutualizations approved under the Illinois Insurance Code.



- Represented buyer in a purchase of a California-domiciled insurer and assisted in redomestication.
- Represented buyer in purchase of a Massachusetts-domiciled insurer.
- Represented a multinational insurer in a transaction involving a complex merger involving multiple insurance departments and obtained regulatory approvals or exemptions from all jurisdictions.
- Represented a multinational insurer in an acquisition of control of an Oklahoma-domiciled insurer.
- Obtained certificates of authority for full expansion for client in more than forty jurisdictions.
- Counseled an insurer in an expansion and on acquisition strategy.
- Negotiated and obtained regulatory approval for a multinational insurer in an acquisition of a New York-domiciled specialty insurer.
- Advised non-profit entities on complex dissolutions, mergers and conversions.
- Conducted an internal investigation of alleged sexual harassment at a non-insurance related entity by a board member.



 Acted as outside general counsel for clients in a wide range of industries.

Email Address: dac@h2law.com

Website: <a href="https://www.howardandhoward.com">www.howardandhoward.com</a>

Mailing Address: 200 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100 Chicago,

IL 60604

Phone Number: (312) 456-3674

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Contents**

Table of Contents	5
Course Description	8
Course Learning Objectives and Outcomes	8
Timed Agenda:	9
Course Material	10
AGENDA	10
- A (Very Little) Bit About Me and Genesis of Book	
- The Supreme Court	10
- The October 2018 Term	10
- The Chief Justice Role	10
- Powers	11
Introduction	11
Co-Equal Branch of Government	11
The Supreme Court	11
Article III	
Judiciary Act of 1789	11
Number of Justices Historically	11
Number Who have served	
The Chief Justice	12
Only reference in Constitution:	
•	



<ul> <li>Not well defined in Judiciary Acts either</li> </ul>	12
<ul> <li>Refer to it like Animal Farm, all animals equal, so</li> </ul>	ome more equal than
others. 12	
Justice Roberts' numbering system	12
The Chief Justice's Role	12
Function and Duties	12
Ability to influence	12
The Chief Justices	
The Chief Justices (cont'd)	
October 2018 Term	
Major Decisions	
Franchise Tax Board of California v. Hyatt	
<ul> <li>https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/1</li> </ul>	— • • • •
<ul> <li>Issue: Whether the decision in Nevada v. Hall, v</li> </ul>	
sovereign state to be haled into another state's courts v	vithout its consent, is
correct?	
Franchise Tax Board (cont'd)	
Held: Nevada v. Hall overturned	
<ul> <li>Justice Clarence Thomas wrote the majority 5-4</li> </ul>	•
Dissent by Justice Stephen Breyer	
Box v. Planned Parenthood of Indiana and Kentucky, In	
<ul> <li>https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/1</li> </ul>	_ 0.
<ul> <li>Issue: Does an Indiana law that prevents the inci-</li> </ul>	
remains with surgical byproducts, authorizes simultaneo	
remains, and leaves unchanged a woman's right "to dete	
disposition of the aborted fetus" bear a rational relation	. •
state interest?	
Box (cont'd)	
Issue not addressed: An Indiana provision barri	•
provision of sex-, race-, or disability-selective abortions	•
1	14
Held: The fetal tissue disposal passes rational backets.	
Per curiam opinion.	
• Justice Thomas concurred to discuss the issue n	
Gamble v. United States	
<ul> <li>https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/1</li> </ul>	
Court refused to overturn concept of "dual sov	<b>o</b> ,
VA House of Delegates v. Bethune-Hill	
• "In short," Ginsburg wrote, "Virginia would rath	
One House of its bicameral legislature cannot alone con	_
against the will of its partners in the legislative process."	
Gundy v. United States	16



Gundy v. United States	16
proper delegation of authority	16
Establishment Clause	16
• The American Legion v. The American Humanist Association	16
Flowers v. Mississippi	16
<ul> <li>https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-9572_k5</li> </ul>	36.pdf16
The numbers speak loudly. Over the course of the first for	
there were 36 black prospective jurors against whom the State cou	uld have
exercised a peremptory strike. The State tried to strike all 36."	16
Knick v. Scott	17
<ul> <li>https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-647_m6/</li> </ul>	48.pdf17
<ul> <li>The Court holds that the government violates the Takings</li> </ul>	
when it takes property without compensation, and a property own	,
bring a lawsuit to challenge the taking under Section 1983 at that ti	
<ul> <li>Major case of overturning a precedent and more ba</li> </ul>	
forth between liberals and conservatives.	
Late Decisions	
Partisan gerrymandering	
Census question	
Current Court and Term- General Observations	
70 Cases- Low by historical standards	
Interesting Court	
The Roberts Court	
John Roberts and his two conflicting views	
Conclusion	
Ebbs and flows of Court over time	
Politicization of the nominating process	
Q&A	
"The Chief Justices"	
Use the following link to view the book on Amazon	
https://amzn.to/2SMgqMR	
Resources	
Resources Specific to this Course	20
Resources for the Legal Professional	20



#### **Course Description**

#### Course Presentation

This course provides an intellectual foundation and introduce a set of learning skills essential for success in the legal profession and for life beyond. The course will provide opportunities for careful reading, for creative and critical thinking, for oral and written communication, and for engaging with others in a shared conversation about stimulating material.

#### Course Material

This material is intended to be a guide in general and is not legal advice. If you have any specific question regarding the state of the law in any particular jurisdiction, we recommend that you seek legal guidance relating to your particular fact situation.

The course materials will provide the attendee with the knowledge and tools necessary to identify the current legal trends with respect to these issues. The course materials are designed to provide the attendee with current law, impending issues and future trends that can be applied in practical situations.

### **Course Learning Objectives and Outcomes**

Upon completion of the course, participants should be able to apply the course material; improve their ability to research, plan, synthesize a variety of sources from authentic materials, draw conclusions; and demonstrate an understanding of the theme and concepts of the course by applying them in their professional lives.

# Timed Agenda:

Time	Description
00:00:00	Program Start
0:00:21	Introduction to the Supreme Court of the US
0:09:58	Article III
0:17:25	The Chief Justice
0:20:16	The Chief Justice's Role
0:28:49	John Rutledge
0:34:11	Salmon Chase
0:37:53	The Chief Justices
0:38:20	William Howard Taft
0:40:38	Charles Evans Hughes
0:44:06	Earl Warren
0:48:24	October 2018 Term
0:51:32	Major Decisions
0:56:01	Franchise Tax Board
1:04:23	Box v. Planned Parenthood of Indiana and Kentucky, Inc.
1:06:17	Gamble v. United States
1:08:03	Gundy v. United States
1:10:02	The American Legion v. The American Humanist Association
1:14:09	Knick v. Scott
1:16:02	Partisan Gerrymandering
1:19:15	Census Question
1:28:20	The Roberts Court
1:28:34	John Roberts and His Two Conflicting Views
1:37:58	Program End



#### **Course Material**

The materials in this presentation are intended to provide a general overview of the issues contained herein and are not intended nor should they be construed to provide specific legal or regulatory guidance or advice. If you have any questions or issues of a specific nature, you should consult with appropriate legal or regulatory counsel to review the specific circumstances involved. Views expressed are those of the speaker and are not to be attributed to his firm or clients.

#### **AGENDA**

- A (Very Little) Bit About Me and Genesis of Book
- The Supreme Court
   Article III of United States Constitution
   Judiciary Act of 1789
   Subsequent Judiciary Acts
- The October 2018 Term
   Major Decisions
   General Observations
- The Chief Justice Role



#### Powers

The 17 Chiefs

Conclusion

#### Introduction

# Co-Equal Branch of Government

Lack of access

Lack of knowledge of what takes place

Lack of understanding

## The Supreme Court

Article III

Lightest wording

Lack of access or understanding

- Judiciary Act of 1789
- Number of Justices Historically
- Number Who have served

**Associate Justices** 

**Chief Justices** 



# The Chief Justice

- Only reference in Constitution:

  Impeachment of president, the chief justice presides.
- Not well defined in Judiciary Acts either
- Refer to it like <u>Animal Farm</u>, all animals equal, some more equal than others.
- Justice Roberts' numbering system

## The Chief Justice's Role

- Function and Duties
- Ability to influence

  Most effective in the Court's History

## The Chief Justices

John Jay

John Rutledge

Oliver Ellsworth

John Marshall

**Roger Taney** 

Salmon Chase

Morrison Waite

Melville Fuller

**Edward White** 



## The Chief Justices (cont'd)

William Howard Taft

**Charles Evans Hughes** 

Harlan Fiske Stone

Fred Vinson

Earl Warren

Warren Burger

William Rehnquist

John Roberts

#### October 2018 Term

## **Major Decisions**

## Franchise Tax Board of California v. Hyatt

- https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-1299\_8njq.pdf
- Issue: Whether the decision in Nevada v. Hall, which permits a sovereign state to be haled into another state's courts without its consent, is correct?

## Franchise Tax Board (cont'd)



- Held: Nevada v. Hall overturned.
- Justice Clarence Thomas wrote the majority 5-4 opinion

Reviewed Nevada and also historical

Argued for implicit structure of the Constitution and sovereignty

Dissent by Justice Stephen Breyer

# Box v. Planned Parenthood of Indiana and Kentucky, Inc.

- https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/18-483\_3d9g.pdf
- Issue: Does an Indiana law that prevents the incineration of fetal remains with surgical byproducts, authorizes simultaneous cremation of fetal remains, and leaves unchanged a woman's right "to determine the final disposition of the aborted fetus" bear a rational relationship to a legitimate state interest?

## Box (cont'd)

Issue not addressed: An Indiana provision barring the knowing provision of sex-, race-, or disability-



- selective abortions by abortion providers.
- Held: The fetal tissue disposal passes rational basis.
- Per curiam opinion.
- Justice Thomas concurred to discuss the issue not addressed.

#### Gamble v. United States

- https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-646\_d18e.pdf
- Court refused to overturn concept of "dual sovereignty"

## **VA** House of Delegates v. Bethune-Hill

"In short," Ginsburg wrote, "Virginia would rather stop than fight on. One House of its bicameral legislature cannot alone continue the litigation against the will of its partners in the legislative process."



## **Gundy v. United States**

- Gundy v. United States
  https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-6086\_2b8e.pdf
- proper delegation of authority
  - But warning of what might be ahead

#### **Establishment Clause**

The American Legion v. The American Humanist Association

https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-1717\_4f14.pdf Cross does not violate Establishment Clause

- Flowers v. Mississippi
- https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-9572\_k536.pdf
- The numbers speak loudly. Over the course of the



first four trials, there were 36 black prospective jurors against whom the State could have exercised a peremptory strike. The State tried to strike all 36."

#### Knick v. Scott

- https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/18pdf/17-647\_m648.pdf
- The Court holds that the government violates the Takings Clause when it takes property without compensation, and a property owner may bring a lawsuit to challenge the taking under Section 1983 at that time.
- Major case of overturning a precedent and more back and forth between liberals and conservatives.

## **Late Decisions**

- Partisan gerrymandering
- Census question

# Current Court and Term- General Observations



- 70 Cases- Low by historical standards
- Interesting Court

Alignments

But also hyper focus on every decision and who is in

Ascendancy of Thomas

**Divided Court** 

Precedent and Stare Decisis

#### The Roberts Court

John Roberts and his two conflicting views
Conservative ideology

Court legacy, with no "swing voters" left

## **Conclusion**

- Ebbs and flows of Court over time
- Politicization of the nominating process

## Q&A

## "The Chief Justices"

Use the following link to view the book on



Amazon.

 $\underline{https://amzn.to/2SMgqMR}$ 



#### Resources

#### Resources Specific to this Course

In addition, please see the resources cited within the material.

## Resources for the Legal Professional

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility - www.abanet.org/cpr

Chicago Bar Association - www.chicagobar.org

Commission on Professionalism - www.2civility.org

Judicial Inquiry Board - <a href="http://www.illinois.gov/jib">http://www.illinois.gov/jib</a>

Illinois Board of Admissions to the Bar - www.ilbaradmissions.org

Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation - www.idfpr.com/default.asp

Illinois Lawyers' Assistance Program, Inc - www.illinoislap.org

Illinois State Bar Association - www.isba.org

Illinois Supreme Court - www.state.il.us/court

Lawyers Trust Fund of Illinois - www.ltf.org

MCLE Program - www.mcleboard.org

